

## Professional Autodidact; or, How I Became a Children's Literature Professor

Philip Nel

I teach children's literature, write books about children's literature, and direct a graduate program in children's literature. But I've never taken a single course in children's literature, neither as a graduate student nor as an undergraduate student. I have no formal training in the field of my alleged expertise.

So, in the words of David Byrne, "You may ask yourself: well, how did I get here?"<sup>1</sup>

Children's literature is the reason that I became an English Ph.D., but I did not realize that until well after I earned the degree. Children's literature made me a reader. Since I liked reading, I became an English major. Realizing, as a college junior, that reading books and writing papers was far more appealing than seeking a "real job," I applied to graduate programs in English. Though I enjoyed writing an honors thesis on William Faulkner, the books of early childhood were more important: they instilled in me a love of reading. So, don't blame *The Sound and the Fury*. Blame Crockett Johnson's *Harold and the Purple Crayon*, Jeff Brown's *Flat Stanley*, Judy Blume's *Tales of a Fourth-Grade Nothing*, and Dr. Seuss's *Green Eggs and Ham*.

A chapter of my dissertation was on Dr. Seuss. That chapter — "Dada Knows Best: Growing Up 'Surreal' with Dr. Seuss" — became my first conference paper (1997) and, in its revised form, my first published article (1999).<sup>2</sup> Until I wrote that chapter, I had not been aware that one *could* do scholarly work on children's literature. Vanderbilt University's Department of English did not (and, as far as I know, still does not) offer courses in the subject. The late Nancy Walker had done some work on children's literature, but I was unaware of this fact until after I received the Ph.D.

Though there are more opportunities for graduate study in children's literature now, many of us in the field are autodidacts. Appropriate, perhaps, that the book that inspired me to take children's literature seriously was written by two non-experts: Judith and Neil Morgan's *Dr. Seuss and Mr. Geisel: A Biography* (1995). Before reading it, I hadn't known that Dr. Seuss was a political cartoonist. Or that, during World War II, he'd worked with Chuck Jones on the *Private SNAFU* cartoons. Fascinating stuff.

My move into children's literature began by chance, but became pragmatic. The Seuss chapter was the only part of the dissertation on children's literature. The book version, *The Avant-Garde and American Postmodernity: Small Incisive Shocks* (2002), included a second children's lit chapter (on Chris Van Allsburg). The other chapters were on (mostly) American literature and music for grown-ups: Nathanael West, Djuna Barnes, Donald Barthelme, Don DeLillo, Laurie Anderson, Leonard Cohen. When I got the degree, I thought I was a twentieth-century Americanist.

But I couldn't get the time of day as a twentieth-century Americanist, much less an MLA interview. So, I reasoned, if I market myself as *both* a twentieth-century Americanist *and* a Children's Lit specialist, then I ought to increase my odds of finding that elusive academic gig.

This decision to publish and present in both fields seemed to help. Two years after receiving the degree, I had my first MLA interviews: two in children’s literature, and one for teaching with technology (I’ve had a website since 1997). Though I then only had one refereed article on children’s literature (“Dada Knows Best”), that piece plus two other under-consideration children’s literature essays — one a new Seuss essay, and the other on Crockett Johnson — proved persuasive enough to get me one campus visit. I used the Crockett Johnson piece for the job talk, and spoke of my plan to write a biography of Johnson. The combination of my slender publication record, plans for future projects — coupled, of course, with a native ability to bluff — worked. During that hiring cycle (1999-2000), I finally landed a tenure-track job ... at the university where I still teach today.

For a time, I thought I would remain active in both fields. But, as the chart below indicates, it proved impossible to keep up in both children’s literature and twentieth-century/contemporary American literature.

<b>Gratuitous Chart of Philip Nel’s Scholarly Work in its First Decade</b>				
	Presentations		Publications	
	20th C. Amer.	Children’s Lit	20th C. Amer.	Children’s Lit
1997		1		
1998	1			
1999	1	2	1	1
2000	1	1	1	1
2001	1	1	1	3
2002		4	2	2
2003		5		2
2004		13		2
2005		6		2
2006		7	1	1
2007		9		2

Publications include only: articles, books, reference pieces. Starting in 2003, presentations also include invited talks.

I taught my last “20th-Century American” class (a seminar on Don DeLillo) in 2001. Although I continue to venture beyond books for young readers, first and foremost I am a scholar of children’s literature.

It’s taken some time for me to become comfortable making such a claim. I *am* a scholar of children’s literature, but I am also keenly aware of how much I *don’t* know about children’s literature. On the one hand, this can be a source of anxiety (*Aaah! I’m unqualified!*). On the other, it can be a source of inspiration (*Hooray! So much to discover!*). Though I’m more inspired than anxious, one hazard of autodidacticism is acute consciousness of one’s status as disciplinary outsider. Since I never studied it formally, I’m not always sure what I should have mastered by now; since the field is so vast, I know I’ll never master it all.

Happily, one benefit of graduate school is learning how to learn. So, I read the relevant scholarly books and articles, regularly attend the children’s literature conferences,<sup>3</sup> and read lots of children’s books — which, after all, is the reason I chose this line of work in the first place.

**Philip Nel** is Professor of English and Director of the Program in Children's Literature at Kansas State University. His most recent books are *Keywords for Children's Literature* (co-edited with Lissa Paul, NYU Press, 2011) and *Tales for Little Rebels* (co-edited with Julia Mickenberg, NYU Press, 2008).

---

<sup>1</sup> Talking Heads, "Once in a Lifetime," *Remain in Light* (Sire/Warner Bros., 1980).

<sup>2</sup> The conference: *Second Biennial Conference on Modern Critical Approaches to Children's Literature*, Nashville, TN, 11 April 1997. The publication: *Children's Literature* 27 (1999): 150-184.

<sup>3</sup> I go to the Children's Literature Association, and the International Research Society for Children's Literature. The former is the big North American one (ChLA is international, but most members are from the U.S. or Canada); the latter is the big international one. There are others, of course — regional ones, and ones that develop from other disciplines, such as Library Science or Education. So, look around and find the ones that intrigue you the most.